



BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<http://bmjopen.bmj.com>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email [info.bmjopen@bmj.com](mailto:info.bmjopen@bmj.com)

# BMJ Open

## Information and communication technology-based interventions for suicide prevention implemented in clinical settings: A scoping review protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-056232
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	08-Aug-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Shin, Hwayeon Danielle; University of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute Zaheer, Juveria; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Health Outcomes and Performance Evaluation (HOPE) Research Unit and Gerald Sheff and Shanitha Kachan Emergency Department; University of Toronto, Department of Psychiatry Rodak, Terri; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, ; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH) Torous, John; Harvard University, Strudwick, Gillian; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute
Keywords:	Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Information technology < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, MENTAL HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Information and communication technology-based interventions for suicide prevention  
implemented in clinical settings: A scoping review protocol**

Hwayeon Danielle Shin<sup>a</sup>, Juveria Zaheer<sup>b</sup>, Terri Rodak<sup>c</sup>, John Torous<sup>d</sup>, Gillian Strudwick<sup>e</sup>

- a. Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4037-4464>  
Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada
- b. Health Outcomes and Performance Evaluation (HOPE) Research Unit, Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada  
Gerald Sheff and Shanitha Kachan Emergency Department, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada  
Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- c. Library, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada
- d. Department of Psychiatry, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts, United States
- e. Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada

**Correspondence to:**  
Hwayeon Danielle Shin  
Doctoral Student,  
Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation  
University of Toronto  
55 College St 4th Floor  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5T 3M6  
[hdanielle.shin@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:hdanielle.shin@mail.utoronto.ca)

29 ***Conflicts of Interest***

30 There are no conflicts of interest to declare with this submission.

32 ***Word count***

33 2938

34 ***Funding***

35 No funding was received for this review.

37 ***Contributors***

38 HDS designed the scoping review protocol including data collection and interpretation planning.

39 HDS drafted the protocol. TL is a librarian and revised methods for search strategy and

40 developed final search strategy. HDS, JJ, TL, JT, GS revised the protocol for intellectual content

41 and made a final approval for the submission of the protocol.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Information and communication technology-based interventions for suicide prevention  
implemented in clinical settings: A scoping review protocol**

**Abstract**

**Introduction**

There is a surplus of Information and Communication Technology (ICT)-based interventions for suicide prevention. However, it is unclear which of these ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention have been implemented in clinical settings. Furthermore, evidence shows that implementation strategies have often been mismatched to existing barriers. In response, the authors recognize the critical need for prospectively assessing the barriers and facilitators and then strategically developing implementation strategies. This review is part of a multi-phase project to develop and test tailored implementation strategies for mobile app-based suicide prevention in clinical settings. The overall objective of this scoping review is to identify and characterize ICT-based interventions for all levels of suicide prevention in clinical settings. Additionally, this review will identify and characterize the barriers and facilitators to implementing these ICT-based interventions as well as reported measures and outcomes. The findings will directly inform the subsequent phase to maximize implementation and inform

58 future efforts for implementing other types of ICT-based interventions related to suicide  
59 prevention in clinical settings.

## 60 **Methods and Analysis**

61 This review will adhere to the methods described by the Joanna Briggs Institute for conducting  
62 scoping reviews. The reporting will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic  
63 Reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for scoping reviews checklist. The following databases  
64 will be searched: Medline, PsycInfo, Embase, CINAHL, Web of Science, and LISTA. Two  
65 reviewers will independently screen the articles and extract data using a standardised data  
66 collection tool. Then, authors will characterize extracted data using frameworks, typology, and  
67 taxonomies to address the proposed review questions.

## 68 **Ethics and Dissemination**

69 Ethics approval is not required for this scoping review. Authors will share the results in a peer-  
70 reviewed, open access publication, and conference presentations. Furthermore, the findings will  
71 be shared with relevant health organizations through lay language summaries and informal  
72 presentations.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Strengths and Limitations of this study**

- A rigorous scoping review method described by the Joanna Briggs Institute will be followed and the full report will be developed using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for scoping reviews checklist.
- This will be the first scoping review to map out the clinician-reported barriers and facilitators to implement ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention in clinical settings.
- This scoping review has limitations regarding the number of databases and non-English publication languages, which may limit research from low income and middle-income countries.
- The list of barriers and facilitators will be limited to what is reported in the existing literature; therefore, the research team aims to conduct a follow-up qualitative research to better investigate and contextualize barriers and facilitators in a specific clinical context.
- Since the purpose of this scoping review is to map and characterize the evidence, there will not be critical appraisals to determine the quality of individual studies to assess the risk of bias.

## 88 Introduction

89 Globally, 800,000 people die by suicide every year, which translates to 11 deaths per  
90 day.<sup>1</sup> In Canada, suicide is currently the second leading cause of death among youth and young  
91 adults.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, the service utilization for those experiencing suicidal thoughts and  
92 behaviours has been increasing for many years. At a national level, the number of emergency  
93 department visits related to suicide-related thoughts and behaviours has doubled among youth  
94 between 2007 to 2015 in the USA.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, in Australia, the numbers have tripled among  
95 patients of all ages from 2009 to 2018 in two emergency departments.<sup>4</sup> Suicide prevention is a  
96 top research priority globally, as reflected in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals  
97 for 2030.<sup>5</sup>

98 The current COVID-19 pandemic has brought a significant impact on psychological  
99 health, further contributing to the increased need for suicide prevention services.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore,  
100 there has been poorer access to mental health services since the onset of pandemic.<sup>7</sup> One  
101 recommendation to meet this unprecedented, increased need for mental health care is to reform  
102 the system and re-distribute services and resources from tertiary care centres to community and  
103 primary care.<sup>8</sup> Technological integration between primary, community and tertiary mental health

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

is one adaptable response.<sup>9</sup> For example, innovative tools, such as telehealth tools, have been rapidly implemented in community mental health services internationally, allowing continuity of care.<sup>7</sup> As such, COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the implementation of digital solutions,<sup>10</sup> and this momentum can be leveraged to re-distribute mental health care via innovative means to provide appropriate suicide prevention care to patients at the right time.

Information and communication technology (ICT) is defined as “a set of technologies resulting from the convergence of information technology and advanced multimedia and telecommunications techniques, which have enabled the emergence of more efficient means of communication, by improving processing, storage, distribution and exchange some information.”<sup>11</sup> ICTs are also referred to as eHealth by the World Health Organization (WHO),<sup>12</sup> and examples include, but are not limited to, Internet and mobile technologies. There is a surplus of ICT-based interventions for mental health care, including suicide prevention.<sup>13,14</sup> For example, there are 38 mental health apps available from the Google Play Store (Android) and Apple App Store, and 11 of them are comprised of in-app crisis resources such as safety planning intervention (SPI).<sup>15</sup> Rassy and colleagues<sup>14</sup> have shown that ICTs for suicide prevention can provide an interactive, personalized, and accessible way to reach various populations to identify

1  
2  
3  
4 120 and provide care to the individuals at risk.<sup>14</sup> Although more additional higher quality,  
5  
6  
7 121 randomized controlled studies are required, evidence to date shows promising outcomes of the  
8  
9  
10 122 ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention, including high acceptability from the patients  
11  
12  
13 123 and some beneficial effects on suicidal ideation.<sup>16</sup> In clinical settings, on the other hand, it  
14  
15  
16  
17 124 remains unknown which ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention have been implemented  
18  
19  
20 125 and are being delivered by clinicians.

21  
22  
23  
24 126 As of now, the SPI<sup>17</sup> is one best practice for suicide prevention, producing a 45%  
25  
26  
27 127 reduction in suicidal behaviour compared to treatment as usual in the emergency department.<sup>18</sup>  
28  
29  
30  
31 128 More recently, systematic reviews have shown significant effects of SPI on reducing the risk of  
32  
33  
34 129 suicide-related behaviours.<sup>19,20</sup> SPI is a collaborative process between clinicians and a patient for  
35  
36  
37 130 developing a plan regarding coping strategies, emergency contacts, and lethal means  
38  
39  
40  
41 131 restriction.<sup>17</sup> As collaboration is a critical feature of SPI, clinicians play an important role in  
42  
43  
44 132 creating a therapeutic alliance with patients and building trust. As such, ICTs cannot replace  
45  
46  
47  
48 133 clinicians in situations where clinical interaction is essential, yet ICTs can be embedded in  
49  
50  
51 134 clinical settings to make effective interventions more widely accessible.<sup>21</sup> Furthermore,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

integrating ICT-based interventions into routine care, which allows clinicians to provide oversight to patients, can facilitate the adoption of these tools.<sup>22</sup>

To date, reviews have yet to comprehensively explore ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention delivered in clinical settings. Furthermore, reviews have yet to assess barriers and facilitators for implementing these ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention. Hence, the overall objective of this scoping review is to identify and characterize ICT-based interventions for all levels of suicide prevention in clinical settings. The secondary objectives of this review are as follow: 1) identify and characterize the barriers and facilitators to implementing these ICT-based interventions within the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation - Behaviour (COM-B)<sup>23</sup> and the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF)<sup>24</sup>; 2) identify reported measures and outcomes in these studies.

This review is part of a multi-phase project to develop and test tailored implementation strategies for mobile app-based suicide prevention in clinical settings. Implementation is a known determinant of effectiveness, meaning barriers to implementation can significantly reduce the effectiveness of interventions and lead to suboptimal outcomes.<sup>25</sup> Further, evidence shows that implementation strategies have often been mismatched to existing barriers in a given

1  
2  
3  
4 151 context.<sup>26,27</sup> For example, a review of 20 quality improvement studies found that many studies  
5  
6  
7 152 utilized clinician-oriented (individual level) strategies, such as education, to address  
8  
9  
10 153 organizational level barriers.<sup>26</sup> Theoretically speaking, education alone may be insufficient to  
11  
12  
13 154 address external influences of implementation.<sup>23</sup> Specifically for mental health apps, there was a  
14  
15  
16  
17 155 recent call for attention to complex contexts in which apps are being implemented in order to  
18  
19  
20 156 tailor facilitation.<sup>28</sup> As such, it is critical to prospectively assess the barriers and facilitators in the  
21  
22  
23  
24 157 organizational and local context and then strategically develop implementation strategies.<sup>29</sup> The  
25  
26  
27 158 findings from this review will be used as a knowledge base for the subsequent phase to identify  
28  
29  
30 159 strategies to overcome barriers and leverage facilitators to maximize implementation. The  
31  
32  
33  
34 160 findings can also inform future efforts to develop and test strategies for implementing other types  
35  
36  
37 161 of ICT-based interventions related to suicide prevention in clinical settings.

38  
39  
40  
41 162 A search of PROSPERO, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Joanna  
42  
43  
44 163 Briggs Institute (JBI) Evidence Synthesis and Open Science Framework was conducted in June-  
45  
46  
47  
48 164 July 2021, and no current or underway systematic reviews or scoping reviews on the topic were  
49  
50  
51 165 identified.

## 52 53 54 55 166 **Research questions**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

To achieve the research objectives stated above, this scoping review will address the following questions.

1. What ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention have been implemented in clinical settings?

1.1. What are the reported barriers and facilitators to implementing these ICT-based interventions?

1.2. What are the reported measures and outcomes?

**Methods**

This review will adhere to the JBI methodology for scoping review,<sup>30,31</sup> and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA-ScR) extension for scoping reviews<sup>32</sup> will be used to guide the reporting.

**Inclusion/exclusion criteria**

To identify relevant studies, key inclusion/exclusion criteria were constructed based on the population, concept and context (PCC) mnemonic recommended by JBI. *Participant*

1  
2  
3  
4 181 In literature, a wide range of health care professionals who provide direct care in clinical  
5  
6  
7 182 settings (e.g., physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, social workers, and  
8  
9  
10 183 medical resident) have been commonly referred to as ‘clinicians’.<sup>33,34</sup> All types of clinicians who  
11  
12  
13 184 are licenced and regulated practitioners will be included in this review. Furthermore, unregulated  
14  
15  
16  
17 185 practitioners or clinical support personnel, such as peer support workers, will be included in this  
18  
19  
20 186 review. Therefore, ICT-based interventions must be implemented or delivered by these members  
21  
22  
23  
24 187 of the clinical team, and this review will exclude studies if a research assistant delivers an ICT-  
25  
26  
27 188 based intervention. There will be no exclusion criteria based on gender, health care discipline,  
28  
29  
30 189 and years of experience. Therefore, health care trainees, such as medical residents, will also be  
31  
32  
33  
34 190 considered for inclusion. Lastly, ICT-based interventions can target patient population of any age  
35  
36  
37 191 and any levels of suicide prevention.

### 41 192 *Concept*

42  
43  
44  
45 193 All literature that describes ICT-based interventions will be included in this review.  
46  
47  
48 194 Following the definitions provided in the introduction, ICTs or eHealth include, but are not  
49  
50  
51 195 limited to, computerized resources, mobile apps, and text messaging. Additionally, the definition  
52  
53  
54  
55 196 provided by the WHO will be adopted to identify interventions: “A health intervention is an act  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

performed for, with or on behalf of a person or population whose purpose is to assess, improve, maintain, promote or modify health, functioning or health conditions.”<sup>35</sup> Therefore, general use of electronic health care records while caring for patients with suicidal ideation will be excluded if there are no ICT-based interventions being implemented or delivered to patients. Additionally, routine care provided via virtual platforms or telephones will be excluded unless an ICT-based intervention is being delivered. ICT-based interventions can be delivered in-person or other means of communication by clinicians. Crisis services (phone, chat, text) as are appropriate response for suicide prevention. However, this review will exclude crisis services since there has already been a systematic review investigating their effectiveness.<sup>36</sup> The current review will use the umbrella term, suicide-related thoughts and behaviours,<sup>37</sup> which refers to a spectrum of suicide-related ideation, communication, behaviours, and attempts with having casual to persistent suicidal thoughts with actual, undetermined or no suicidal intent. ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention regarding any sub-category of suicide-related thoughts and behaviours will be included. Lastly, ICT-based interventions related to all levels of suicide prevention following the WHO description (i.e., Universal, Selective, Indicated)<sup>38</sup> will be included.

## 213 *Context*

214 All types of clinical settings, such as in-patient, emergency, ambulatory, and primary care,  
 215 will be considered for inclusion. For this review, a clinical setting is defined as any context  
 216 where clinician-patient interactions occur in real-time. To be included, ICT-based interventions  
 217 need to be implemented and initiated in the clinical setting. Self-support tools that patients can  
 218 freely download from app stores will be excluded as these are being initiated in non-clinical  
 219 settings. However, if app-based interventions or other forms of ICT-based interventions are  
 220 prescribed by clinicians or clinical support team, they will be included in the review. Please see  
 221 Table 1 for the summary of eligibility.

222 **Table 1. Eligibility criteria**

	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Population	<p>All members of clinical care team</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• licenced and regulated practitioners</li> <li>• Unregulated practitioners or clinical support teams such as peer support workers</li> </ul> <p>All ages, genders, locations, and years of experience</p>	Not a member of clinical care team

Topic	<p>Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs): “A set of technologies resulting from the convergence of information technology and advanced multimedia and telecommunications techniques, which have enabled the emergence of more efficient means of communication, by improving processing, storage, distribution and exchange some information.” <sup>11</sup></p> <p>Suicide-related thoughts and behaviours<sup>37</sup>: represent a spectrum of suicide-related ideation, communication, behaviours and attempts with having casual to persistent suicidal thoughts with actual, undetermined or no suicidal intent. This review will consider ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention regarding any sub-category of suicide-related thoughts and behaviours.</p>	<p>No ICTs</p> <p>Crisis services (phone, chat, text)</p>
Setting	<p>Clinical setting or context (i.e., clinician-patient interaction in real time)</p>	<p>Not a clinical setting or context</p>
Source	<p>Primary research papers including in press papers. If literature reviews, commentaries, and opinion papers include relevant primary research studies, this review will include them in the screening phase then hand-search their references to identify the original papers that meet the inclusion criteria. Conference papers, reports from relevant health services organizations.</p>	<p>Books, theses, commentaries, opinion papers, literature reviews, preprints, abstracts</p>

Type of study	All designs including study protocols	N/A
Language	English	non-English language

## Search strategy

In collaboration with a health sciences librarian, a comprehensive search strategy will be developed to locate relevant scholarly literature using multiple bibliographic databases. This scoping review will follow a three-step search strategy outlined in JBI methodology.<sup>31</sup> First, we will develop and refine a draft strategy in Medline, followed by an analysis of the text words contained in titles and abstracts of relevant articles and the subject headings applied to them. After revising, testing, and finalizing this search strategy, TR will translate the strategy using database-specific subject headings, search fields, and operators, and run the search in each included database. The search strategy will be peer-reviewed by a second research librarian using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategy (PRESS) guidelines.<sup>39</sup> The proposed search strategy for Medline (Ovid), peer-reviewed by a second research librarian, is presented in Supplementary File II. Thirdly, the reference list of the sources that have been included in the reviews will be hand-searched for additional articles.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

The targeted Google search method outlined by Godin et al., 2015.<sup>40</sup> will be utilized to identify a list of international and national health services’ websites to locate reports and other eligible sources. The first step involves conducting ten unique Google searches with different combinations of keywords and reviewing the first 100 items of each search to identify relevant websites. The second step involves hand-searching identified relevant websites to find reports or other sources that meet the inclusion criteria. This targeted Google search will complement the database searches to identify more diverse sources of evidence.

**Types of sources**

The following databases will be searched for relevant studies: Medline, APA PsycInfo, Embase, the Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Web of Science, and Library, Information Science & Technology Abstracts (LISTA). All types of research designs will be included (e.g., quantitative, observational, qualitative, and mixed methods). Reference lists of relevant literature reviews, commentaries, text and opinion papers will be reviewed to identify additional primary research papers that meet the eligibility criteria. Grey literature including conference papers, reports and publications by relevant national and

international websites of health organizations and agencies will be included. Sources written in English will be included, and no date parameters will be applied.

## Study selection

All identified citations will be collated and uploaded into Covidence<sup>41</sup> and duplicates will be automatically removed. Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Next, relevant full-text articles will be retrieved into Covidence,<sup>41</sup> and two independent reviewers will assess the full-text of relevant studies in detail against the eligibility criteria. Reasons for exclusion will be recorded at the full-text screening phase and will be reported in the full review. Any discrepancies between the reviewers at each stage of the study selection process will be resolved either through discussion or by a third reviewer. Scoping reviews do not require methodological assessment,<sup>31</sup> so critical appraisal will not be conducted. The results of the study selection will be reported and presented in a PRISMA 2020 flow diagram.<sup>42</sup>

## Data extraction

Two reviewers will independently extract and chart data. The data extraction tool will be pilot tested with five studies to ensure consistency and assess the need for modification of the

1  
2  
3  
4 267 tool. Any modification of the tool will be reported in the full report. See Supplementary File I for  
5  
6  
7 268 the draft version of data extraction tool. Data will be extracted by two independent reviewers to  
8  
9  
10 269 capture the following information: General characteristics of the paper (title, year, author,  
11  
12  
13 270 country of origin, and design), description and characteristics of clinical settings, geography,  
14  
15  
16  
17 271 characteristics of participating clinicians, ICT-based intervention descriptions and characteristics,  
18  
19  
20 272 target patient population, clinician-reported barriers and facilitators to implementing ICT-based  
21  
22  
23 273 interventions, reported measures, outcomes, and direction of effectiveness. Any discrepancies in  
24  
25  
26  
27 274 data extraction will be resolved either through discussion between the two reviewers or by a third  
28  
29  
30 275 reviewer. Lastly, authors will be contacted to request for missing or additional information when  
31  
32  
33  
34 276 appropriate.

35  
36  
37 277 **Data analysis**

38  
39  
40  
41 278 Following data extraction, this review will characterize extracted data using frameworks,  
42  
43  
44  
45 279 typology, and taxonomies to address the proposed review questions. Data coding strategy will be  
46  
47  
48 280 pilot tested and assessed for further modification. After finalizing the coding strategy, the  
49  
50  
51 281 primary reviewer will code the rest of the data, and then the second reviewer will verify the  
52  
53  
54  
55 282 coded data. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved either through  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

discussion or by a third reviewer. Data coding is expected to be an iterative process; therefore, any necessary changes to the coding strategy will be made and reported in the full review.

### ***Characteristics of ICT-based interventions (Question1)***

Identified ICT-based interventions will be categorized using typology created by the Mental Health Commission of Canada.<sup>43</sup> Then interventions will be categorized into the three levels of suicide prevention following the descriptions provided by the WHO <sup>38</sup>:1) Universal (entire population); 2) Selective (specific subpopulations, targeting vulnerable populations); 3) Indicated (high-risk individuals, displaying signs of suicide potential).

### ***Barriers and facilitators to implementing ICT-based interventions in clinical settings (Question 1.1)***

This review will perform directed content analysis<sup>44</sup> to describe clinician-reported barriers and facilitators to implementing ICT-based interventions within the COM-B and TDF. This review is part of a multi-phase project to develop and test tailored implementation strategies for a mobile app-based suicide prevention in a clinical setting. Lynch and colleagues <sup>45</sup> advice on selecting theory for implementation projects, and suggest the use of COM-B and TDF when researchers are investigating individual experiences as a preparation for implementation. As

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

such, the authors made evidence-informed decision to utilize COM-B and TDF. Both COM-B<sup>23</sup> and TDF<sup>24</sup> are comprehensive and evidence-based behaviour frameworks that capture internal and external influences on an individual’s behaviour change. Furthermore, COM-B and TDF have been previously used across healthcare disciplines to assess implementation problems and to provide theory-informed suggestions for implementation.<sup>46–48</sup> In the current scoping review, narrative descriptions of barriers and facilitators will be coded onto the most appropriate domains of COM-B and TDF. Coded barriers and facilitators will serve as a knowledge base in future research, informing the strategic selection of theory-based strategies for implementation that can overcome barriers and leverage facilitators.

***Reported measures and outcomes (Question 1.2)***

This review will categorize reported measures and outcomes using the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) taxonomy<sup>49</sup> and outcomes of evidence-based practice measures.<sup>50</sup> Outcomes will be categorized into three levels: 1) Patient, 2) Health care provider, 3) Health system. Patient level outcomes will be further distinguished to patient-reported outcomes (e.g., symptoms),<sup>51</sup> patient-reported experience (e.g., satisfaction),<sup>52</sup> and patient health outcomes (e.g., mortality).<sup>49</sup> Examples of health care provider outcomes include knowledge, attitude (e.g.,

1  
2  
3  
4 315 satisfaction and acceptability), and behaviour (e.g., practice changes noted in medical charts).<sup>50</sup>  
5  
6

7 316 Examples of system level outcomes include resource utilization (e.g., length of stay and number  
8  
9  
10 317 of admission) and economic outcomes (e.g., cost effectiveness).<sup>49</sup>  
11  
12  
13

#### 14 318 **Data presentation**

15  
16  
17

18 319 This review will present the charted data in tables that align with the review objectives.  
19  
20

21 320 Descriptive numerical summaries of the quantitative data (e.g., frequency counts for barriers and  
22  
23  
24 321 facilitators) will be provided where possible. Lastly, narrative descriptions will accompany these  
25  
26  
27  
28 322 presentations and describe how the findings address the review questions.  
29  
30  
31

#### 32 323 **Public and patient involvement**

33  
34  
35

36 324 The authors plan to discuss the review findings and request feedback from the Suicide  
37  
38  
39 325 Prevention Working Group and the clinical patient and family advisory committees at the Centre  
40  
41  
42 326 for Additions and Mental Health (CAMH) for the next step of this work. During engagement  
43  
44  
45  
46 327 meetings, these groups can identify research priorities to inform the next steps. Also, these  
47  
48  
49 328 groups will be invited to contribute to the dissemination plan.  
50  
51  
52

#### 53 329 **Ethics and dissemination**

54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

330 This scoping review is aimed at synthesizing information from the existing literature;  
331 therefore, ethics approval is not required. This scoping review is part of a multi-phase project to  
332 develop and test tailored implementation strategies for a mobile app-based suicide prevention  
333 intervention in a clinical setting. The findings will directly inform the subsequent phase to  
334 identify strategies to overcome barriers and leverage facilitators to maximize implementation.  
335 Furthermore, authors anticipate that the findings will inform future research directions for other  
336 ICT implementation efforts in clinical settings. As such, authors will share the results in a peer-  
337 reviewed, open access publication, and conference presentations. Furthermore, the findings will  
338 be shared with relevant health organizations (e.g., CAMH) through lay language summaries and  
339 informal presentations.

## References

1. WHO. Suicide [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Mar 14]. Available from: World Health Organization. (2016). Suicide data. World Health Organization.  
[http://www.who.int/mental\\_health/prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/](http://www.who.int/mental_health/prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/)
2. Public Health Agency of Canada. Suicide in Canada: Key Statistics (infographic) [Internet]. Government of Canada. 2019 [cited 2019 Sep 28]. Available from:  
<https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/publications/healthy-living/suicide-canada-key-statistics-infographic.html>
3. Burstein B, Agostino H, Greenfield B. Suicidal Attempts and Ideation Among Children and Adolescents in US Emergency Departments, 2007-2015. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2019 Jun 1;173(6):598–600.
4. Stapelberg NJC, Svetcic J, Hughes I, Turner K. Suicidal Presentations to Emergency Departments in a Large Australian Public Health Service over 10 Years. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(16).
5. WHO. LIVE LIFE: Preventing suicide [Internet]. 2018 Sep [cited 2021 May 18] p. 4. Available from: [https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/mental-health/suicide/live-life-brochure.pdf?sfvrsn=6ea28a12\\_2&download=true](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/mental-health/suicide/live-life-brochure.pdf?sfvrsn=6ea28a12_2&download=true)
6. Sher L. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on suicide rates. *QJM Int J Med*. 2020 Oct 1;113(10):707–12.
7. Sheridan Rains L, Johnson S, Barnett P, Steare T, Needle JJ, Carr S, et al. Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2021 Jan 1;56(1):13–24.
8. Xiang Y-T, Zhao Y-J, Liu Z-H, Li X-H, Zhao N, Cheung T, et al. The COVID-19 outbreak and psychiatric hospitals in China: managing challenges through mental health service reform. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2020 Mar 15;16(10):1741–4.

9. Rosenberg S, Mendoza J, Tabatabaei-Jafari H, Salvador-Carulla L. International experiences of the active period of COVID-19 - Mental health care. *COVID-19 Pandemic Glob Health Policy Technol Responses Mak.* 2020 Dec 1;9(4):503–9.

10. Golinelli D, Boetto E, Carullo G, Nuzzolese AG, Landini MP, Fantini MP. Adoption of Digital Technologies in Health Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Systematic Review of Early Scientific Literature. *J Med Internet Res.* 2020 Nov 6;22(11):e22280.

11. The large terminological dictionary (GDT). technologies de l’information et de la communication [Internet]. Office québécois de la langue française. [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: [http://gdt.oqlf.gouv.qc.ca/ficheOqlf.aspx?Id\\_Fiche=8349341](http://gdt.oqlf.gouv.qc.ca/ficheOqlf.aspx?Id_Fiche=8349341)

12. WHO | Frequently asked questions on Global Task Force on digital health for TB and its work [Internet]. WHO. World Health Organization; [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/digital-health/faq/en/>

13. Larsen ME, Nicholas J, Christensen H. A Systematic Assessment of Smartphone Tools for Suicide Prevention. *PLOS ONE.* 2016 Apr 13;11(4):e0152285.

14. Rassy J, Bardon C, Dargis L, Côté L-P, Corthésy-Blondin L, Mörch C-M, et al. Information and communications technology use in suicide prevention: a scoping review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2021;ePub(ePub):ePub-ePub.

15. Parrish EM, Filip TF, Torous J, Nebeker C, Moore RC, Depp CA. Are Mental Health Apps Adequately Equipped to Handle Users in Crisis? *Crisis* [Internet]. 2021 May; Available from: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/34042465>

16. Arshad U, Farhat-UI-Ain, Gauntlett J, Husain N, Chaudhry N, Taylor PJ. A Systematic Review of the Evidence Supporting Mobile- and Internet-Based Psychological Interventions For Self-Harm. *Suicide Life Threat Behav.* 2019/08/26 ed. 2020 Feb;50(1):151–79.

17. Stanley B, Brown GK. Safety Planning Intervention: A Brief Intervention to Mitigate Suicide Risk. *Cogn Behav Pract.* 2012;19(2):256–64.

18. Stanley B, Brown GK, Brenner LA, Galfalvy HC, Currier GW, Knox KL, et al. Comparison of the Safety Planning Intervention With Follow-up vs Usual Care of Suicidal Patients Treated in the Emergency Department. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018 Sep 1;75(9):894–900.
19. Nuij C, van Ballegooijen W, de Beurs D, Juniar D, Erlangsen A, Portzky G, et al. Safety planning-type interventions for suicide prevention: meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2021;1–8.
20. Ferguson M, Rhodes K, Loughhead M, McIntyre H, Procter N. The Effectiveness of the Safety Planning Intervention for Adults Experiencing Suicide-Related Distress: A Systematic Review. *Arch Suicide Res*. 2021;1–24.
21. Bennett CB, Ruggero CJ, Sever AC, Yanouri L. eHealth to redress psychotherapy access barriers both new and old: A review of reviews and meta-analyses. *J Psychother Integr*. 2020;30(2):188–207.
22. Schreiweis B, Pobiruchin M, Strotbaum V, Suleder J, Wiesner M, Bergh B. Barriers and Facilitators to the Implementation of eHealth Services: Systematic Literature Analysis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2019 Nov 22;21(11):e14197.
23. Michie, van Stralen, West. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci*. 2011 Apr 23;6(1):42.
24. Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci*. 2012 Apr 24;7(1):37.
25. Proctor E, Silmere H, Raghavan R, Hovmand P, Aarons G, Bunger A, et al. Outcomes for Implementation Research: Conceptual Distinctions, Measurement Challenges, and Research Agenda. *Adm Policy Ment Health Ment Health Serv Res*. 2011 Mar 1;38(2):65–76.
26. Bosch M, Van Der Weijden T, Wensing M, Grol R. Tailoring quality improvement interventions to identified barriers: a multiple case analysis. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2007 Apr 1;13(2):161–8.

27. Wensing M, Grol R. Knowledge translation in health: how implementation science could contribute more. *BMC Med.* 2019 May 7;17(1):88.

28. Connolly SL, Hogan TP, Shimada SL, Miller CJ. Leveraging Implementation Science to Understand Factors Influencing Sustained Use of Mental Health Apps: a Narrative Review. *J Technol Behav Sci.* 2020 Sep 7;1–13.

29. Lattie EG, Nicholas J, Knapp AA, Skerl JJ, Kaiser SM, Mohr DC. Opportunities for and Tensions Surrounding the Use of Technology-Enabled Mental Health Services in Community Mental Health Care. *Adm Policy Ment Health.* 2019/09/20 ed. 2020 Jan;47(1):138–49.

30. Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Baldini Soares C, Khalil H, Parker D. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. *Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer’s Manual* [Internet]. The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2017. Available from: <https://reviewersmanual.joannabriggs.org/>

31. Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JB I Evid Synth.* 2020;18(10).

32. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O’Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018 Oct 2;169(7):467–73.

33. Im DD, Chary A, Condella AL, Vongsachang H, Carlson LC, Vogel L, et al. Emergency Department Clinicians’ Attitudes Toward Opioid Use Disorder and Emergency Department-initiated Buprenorphine Treatment: A Mixed-Methods Study. *West J Emerg Med.* 2020 Feb 21;21(2):261–71.

34. Jelinek GA, Marck CH, Weiland TJ, Neate SL, Hickey BB. Organ and tissue donation-related attitudes, education and practices of emergency department clinicians in Australia. *Emerg Med Australas.* 2012 Jun 1;24(3):244–50.

35. WHO. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from:

<https://www.who.int/standards/classifications/international-classification-of-health-interventions>

36. Hoffberg AS, Stearns-Yoder KA, Brenner LA. The Effectiveness of Crisis Line Services: A Systematic Review. *Front Public Health*. 2020;7:399.
37. Silverman MM, Berman AL, Sanddal ND, O'Carroll PW, Joiner Jr. TE. Rebuilding the Tower of Babel: A Revised Nomenclature for the Study of Suicide and Suicidal Behaviors Part 2: Suicide-Related Ideations, Communications, and Behaviors. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2007 Jun 1;37(3):264–77.
38. WHO. Preventing suicide: A global imperative [Internet]. World Health Organization. 2014 [cited 2019 Nov 23]. Available from: [http://www.who.int/mental\\_health/suicide-prevention/world\\_report\\_2014/en/](http://www.who.int/mental_health/suicide-prevention/world_report_2014/en/)
39. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016 Jul 1;75:40–6.
40. Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hanning RM, Leatherdale ST. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. *Syst Rev*. 2015 Oct 22;4(1):138.
41. Covidence systematic review software [Internet]. Melbourne, Australia.; 2019. Available from: [www.covidence.org](http://www.covidence.org)
42. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021 Mar 29;372:n71.
43. Mental Health Commission of Canada. E-Mental Health in Canada: Transforming the Mental Health System Using Technology [Internet]. Ottawa, ON; 2014. Available from: [https://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/MHCC\\_E-Mental\\_Health-Briefing\\_Document\\_ENG\\_0.pdf](https://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/MHCC_E-Mental_Health-Briefing_Document_ENG_0.pdf)

44. Hsieh H-F, Shannon SE. Three Approaches to Qualitative Content Analysis. *Qual Health Res.* 2005 Nov 1;15(9):1277–88.

45. Lynch EA, Mudge A, Knowles S, Kitson AL, Hunter SC, Harvey G. “There is nothing so practical as a good theory”: a pragmatic guide for selecting theoretical approaches for implementation projects. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2018 Nov 14;18(1):857.

46. Jabbour M, Newton AS, Johnson D, Curran JA. Defining barriers and enablers for clinical pathway implementation in complex clinical settings. *Implement Sci.* 2018 Nov 12;13(1):139.

47. Surr CA, Parveen S, Smith SJ, Drury M, Sass C, Burden S, et al. The barriers and facilitators to implementing dementia education and training in health and social care services: a mixed-methods study. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2020 Jun 5;20(1):512.

48. Glowacki K, Weatherson K, Faulkner G. Barriers and facilitators to health care providers’ promotion of physical activity for individuals with mental illness: A scoping review. *Ment Health Phys Act.* 2019 Mar 1;16:152–68.

49. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). What outcomes should be reported in Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) reviews? EPOC resources for review authors [Internet]. EPOC Resources for review authors; 2017. Available from: [https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/what\\_outcomes\\_should\\_be\\_reported\\_in\\_epoc\\_reviews.pdf](https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/what_outcomes_should_be_reported_in_epoc_reviews.pdf)

50. Graham I, Bick D, Tetroe J, Straus SE, Harrison M. Measuring outcomes of evidence-based practice: Distinguishing between knowledge use and its impact. *Eval Impact Implement Evid Based Pract.* 2010 Jan 1;18–37.

51. FDA. Guidance for Industry: Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims. [Internet]. Rockville, MD; 2009 [cited 2020 Dec 7]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/patient-reported-outcome-measures-use-medical-product-development-support-labeling-claims>

- 1  
2  
3  
4 52. Kingsley C, Patel S. Patient-reported outcome measures and patient-reported experience  
5 measures. BJA Educ. 2017 Apr 1;17(4):137–44.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Supplementary File I. Draft of data extraction tool

General characteristics of the paper	
Title	
Year	
Author	
Country of origin	
Design	
Clinical setting	
Description of clinical setting	
Type	
<input type="checkbox"/> In-patient	
<input type="checkbox"/> Out-patient (clinics)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not reported	
Geography	
<input type="checkbox"/> Urban	
<input type="checkbox"/> Suburban	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rural	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mixture	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not reported	
Clinicians	
Characteristics (sample demographic information including gender, age, years of clinical experience)	
Discipline	
ICT intervention characteristics	
ICT intervention description	

ICT type
Target patient population of ICT intervention
Implementation
Reported barriers
Reported facilitators
Measures and outcomes
Measures
Outcomes
Direction of effectiveness
<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral

Supplementary File II. Search strategy for Medline (Ovid)

Ovid MEDLINE: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE® Daily and Ovid MEDLINE® <1946-Present>

Date searched: August 5, 2021

Line	Query	Records Retrieved
1	information technology/	526
2	software/	115757
3	mobile applications/	8314
4	programming languages/	4242
5	software design/	6049
6	user-centered design/	56
7	user-computer interface/	38389
8	web browser/ or word processing/	1854
9	exp electronics/	39315
10	digital technology/	231
11	exp computer simulation/	259020
12	virtual reality/	3179
13	video games/	6170
14	electronic mail/	2801
15	cell phone/ or smartphone/	15430
16	text messaging/	3606
17	wireless technology/	3917
18	algorithms/	271596
19	exp artificial intelligence/	119797
20	exp expert systems/	3435
21	exp fuzzy logic/	4761
22	exp knowledge bases/	10539
23	exp machine learning/	30945
24	exp natural language processing/	4870
25	exp neural networks, computer/	36200
26	exp robotics/	31801
27	social media/	10856
28	exp internet/	86620
29	((mobile or online or web or internet) adj3 (application* or app or apps or interface* or platform* or program* or tech* or intervention*)).tw,kf.	43178

30	(smartphone* or smart phone* or tablet or cellphone or cell phone or mobile phone).tw,kf.	52887
31	Text messag*.tw,kf.	4950
32	(e-health or ehealth or m-health or mhealth).tw,kf.	13877
33	(social media* or social network* site* or social network* website* or facebook* or instagram* or snapchat* or LinkedIn* or Weibo* or Whatsapp* or Whats app* or Telegram* or WeChat* or We Chat* or tiktok*).tw,kf.	21644
34	((twitter* or tweet*) not tweetable abstract).tw,kf.	4846
35	(forum* adj3 (internet or web* or chat*)).tw,kf.	679
36	PatientsLikeMe.tw,kf.	68
37	wiki*.tw,kf.	1574
38	algorithm*.tw,kf.	285739
39	(artificial intelligence or "AI" or "A.I.").tw,kf.	42342
40	computational intelligence.tw,kf.	341
41	(machine learning or deep learning or natural language processing).tw,kf.	65991
42	(information adj3 technolog*).tw,kf.	21183
43	(communication* adj3 technolog*).tw,kf.	6753
44	or/1-43	1075973
45	suicide/ or suicidal ideation/ or suicide, attempted/ or suicide, completed/	61461
46	(suicid* adj3 prevent*).tw,kf.	8262
47	(suicid* adj3 (attempt* or commit* or complet* or die* or dead or ideation* or thought* or plan* or consider* or contemplat* or behavio?r* or method*)).tw,kf.	41228
48	suicidal*.tw,kf.	35112
49	self-injurious behavior/ or self mutilation/	11622
50	(selfharm* or self-harm or selfinjur* or self-injur* or selfinflict* or self-inflict* or self-mutilat* or selfmutilat* or selfpoison* or self-poison* or automutilat*).tw,kf.	16663
51	((fatal* or lethal* or intentional* or deliberate*) adj2 (dose or doses or dosing or overdos* or self-administ* or selfadminist*)).tw,kf.	14134
52	or/45-51	107361
53	practice patterns, dentists'/ or practice patterns, nurses'/ or practice patterns, physicians'/	68628

54	"delivery of health care"/	98968
55	"delivery of health care, integrated"/	13510
56	patient care management/	4586
57	health services accessibility/	79884
58	managed care programs/	24335
59	telemedicine/	29438
60	exp Health Facilities/	824890
61	(clinical* adj3 (practice* or practise* or application* or care)).tw,kf.	361453
62	((health or healthcare or medical or psychiatr* or mental* or rehab* or treatment* or inpatient* or outpatient* or walk-in or drop-in) adj3 (hospital* or institut* or setting* or environment* or clinic* or centre* or center* or facility or facilities or ward* or unit* or office* or program* or service* or intervention*)).ti,ab,kf,hw.	1507381
63	(clinical adj3 (hospital* or institut* or setting* or environment* or centre* or center* or facility or facilities or ward* or unit* or office* or program* or service* or intervention*)).ti,ab,kf,hw.	180802
64	(care team* or healthcare team* or health team*).tw,kf.	20197
65	((health* or healthcare or medical* or mental* or psychiatr* or inpatient* or outpatient*) adj3 (session* or appointment*)).tw,kf.	6115
66	((nurse* or nursing) adj3 (hospital* or institut* or setting* or environment* or clinic* or centre* or center* or facility or facilities or ward* or unit* or office* or program* or service* or intervention* or care)).ti,ab,kf,hw.	219074
67	medical home*.tw,kf.	3555
68	hospital*.tw,kf.	1412419
69	(emergency adj3 (department* or ward* or unit* or room* or service* or medicine or center* or centre* or clinic or clinics or hospital* or care or visit* or patient*)).tw,kf.	183200
70	(casualty adj1 (department* or ward* or unit* or room* or service* or medicine or center* or centre* or clinic or clinics or hospital* or visit* or patient*)).tw,kf.	969
71	"a&e".tw,kf.	25944
72	("ER" or "E.R.").ti.	11351

73	(telemental* or tele mental* or teletherap* or telepsych* or telemedic* or telehealth* or teleconferenc* or tele-psychotherap* or tele-psychiatr* or tele-medic* or tele-health* or tele-conferenc*).tw,kf.	27435
74	((health* or healthcare or medical* or mental* or psychiatr*) adj3 (profession* or work* or practitioner* or provider* or clinician* or servic*)).tw,kf.	520573
75	(physician* or doctor* or primary care or general practitioner* or nurse practitioner*).tw,kf.	657819
76	(psychiatrist* or psychologist*).tw,kf.	40856
77	((health* or medic* or clinic*) adj3 (aide* or assistant* or technician* or navigator*)).tw,kf.	8671
78	(peer* adj3 (worker* or coach* or navigator*)).tw,kf.	1025
79	(digital adj3 (coach* or navigator*)).tw,kf.	64
80	((regulated or unregulated) adj3 (provider* or professional* or worker*)).tw,kf.	217
81	(treatment* or intervention*).ti,hw.	2333083
82	(allerg* or immunolog* or anesthesiolog* or dermatolog* or radiolog* or emergency medicine or family medicine or internal medicine or internist* or neurology* or obstetric* or gynecolog* or ophthalmolog* or pathology or pathologist* or pediatric* or paediatric* or oncolog* or surgeon* or surgical* or surgery or urolog*).tw,kf.	3759156
83	(social work* or occupational therap* or allied health* or pharmacy or pharmacist* or physiotherap* or dentist* or dental* or audiolog* or speech patholog* or language patholog* or chiropod* or podiatr* or chiropract* or dentur* or dietician* or dietetic* or homeopath* or naturopath* or kinesiolg* or massage therap* or midwif* or midwiv* or optician* or optometr* or psychotherap* or psycho-therap* or respiratory therap* or chinese medicine or acupunctur* or (laborator* adj3 (technolog* or technician*)) or ((radiation or radiolog*) adj3 (technolog* or technician*))).tw,kf.	624264
84	or/53-83	8628673
85	44 and 52 and 84	1323

# BMJ Open

## Information and communication technology-based interventions for suicide prevention implemented in clinical settings: A scoping review protocol

Journal:	<i>BMJ Open</i>
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-056232.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Dec-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Shin, Hwayeon Danielle; University of Toronto, Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute Zaheer, Juveria; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Health Outcomes and Performance Evaluation (HOPE) Research Unit and Gerald Sheff and Shanitha Kachan Emergency Department; University of Toronto, Department of Psychiatry Rodak, Terri; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, ; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH) Torous, John; Harvard University, Strudwick, Gillian; Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b>:	Health services research
Secondary Subject Heading:	Health services research, Mental health
Keywords:	Suicide & self-harm < PSYCHIATRY, Information technology < BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOINFORMATICS, MENTAL HEALTH

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Information and communication technology-based interventions for suicide prevention  
implemented in clinical settings: A scoping review protocol**

Hwayeon Danielle Shin<sup>a</sup>, Juveria Zaheer<sup>b</sup>, Terri Rodak<sup>c</sup>, John Torous<sup>d</sup>, Gillian Strudwick<sup>e</sup>

- a. Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4037-4464>
- b. Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada
- c. Health Outcomes and Performance Evaluation (HOPE) Research Unit, Institute for Mental Health Policy Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada
- d. Gerald Sheff and Shanitha Kachan Emergency Department, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada
- e. Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- f. Library, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada
- g. Department of Psychiatry, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts, United States
- h. Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Ontario, Canada

**Correspondence to:**  
Hwayeon Danielle Shin  
Doctoral Student,  
Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation  
University of Toronto  
55 College St 4th Floor  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5T 3M6  
[hdanielle.shin@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:hdanielle.shin@mail.utoronto.ca)

29 ***Conflicts of Interest***

30 There are no conflicts of interest to declare with this submission.

32 ***Word count***

33 2938

34 ***Funding***

35 No funding was received for this review.

37 ***Contributors***

38 HDS designed the scoping review protocol including data collection and interpretation planning.

39 HDS drafted the protocol. TR is a librarian and revised methods for search strategy and

40 developed final search strategy. HDS, JZ, TR, JT, GS revised the protocol for intellectual content

41 and made a final approval for the submission of the protocol.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Information and communication technology-based interventions for suicide prevention  
implemented in clinical settings: A scoping review protocol**

**Abstract**

**Introduction**

There is a surplus of Information and Communication Technology (ICT)-based interventions for suicide prevention. However, it is unclear which of these ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention have been implemented in clinical settings. Furthermore, evidence shows that implementation strategies have often been mismatched to existing barriers. In response, the authors recognize the critical need for prospectively assessing the barriers and facilitators and then strategically developing implementation strategies. This review is part of a multi-phase project to develop and test tailored implementation strategies for mobile app-based suicide prevention in clinical settings. The overall objective of this scoping review is to identify and characterize ICT-based interventions for all levels of suicide prevention in clinical settings. Additionally, this review will identify and characterize the barriers and facilitators to implementing these ICT-based interventions as well as reported measures and outcomes. The findings will directly inform the subsequent phase to maximize implementation and inform

58 future efforts for implementing other types of ICT-based interventions related to suicide  
59 prevention in clinical settings.

## 60 **Methods and Analysis**

61 This review will adhere to the methods described by the Joanna Briggs Institute for conducting  
62 scoping reviews. The reporting will follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic  
63 Reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for scoping reviews checklist. The following databases  
64 will be searched: Medline, PsycInfo, Embase, CINAHL, Web of Science, and LISTA. Two  
65 reviewers will independently screen the articles and extract data using a standardised data  
66 collection tool. Then, authors will characterize extracted data using frameworks, typology, and  
67 taxonomies to address the proposed review questions.

## 68 **Ethics and Dissemination**

69 Ethics approval is not required for this scoping review. Authors will share the results in a peer-  
70 reviewed, open access publication, and conference presentations. Furthermore, the findings will  
71 be shared with relevant health organizations through lay language summaries and informal  
72 presentations.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Strengths and Limitations of this study**

- A rigorous scoping review method described by the Joanna Briggs Institute will be followed and the full report will be developed using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for scoping reviews checklist.
- This will be the first scoping review to map out the clinician-reported barriers and facilitators to implement ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention in clinical settings.
- This scoping review has limitations regarding the number of databases and non-English publication languages, which may limit research from low income and middle-income countries.
- The list of barriers and facilitators will be limited to what is reported in the existing literature; therefore, the research team aims to conduct a follow-up qualitative research to better investigate and contextualize barriers and facilitators in a specific clinical context.
- Since the purpose of this scoping review is to map and characterize the evidence, there will not be critical appraisals to determine the quality of individual studies to assess the risk of bias.

## 88 Introduction

89 Globally, 800,000 people die by suicide every year, which translates to 11 deaths per  
90 day.<sup>1</sup> In Canada, suicide is currently the second leading cause of death among youth and young  
91 adults.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, the service utilization for those experiencing suicidal thoughts and  
92 behaviours has been increasing for many years. At a national level, the number of emergency  
93 department visits related to suicide-related thoughts and behaviours has doubled among youth  
94 between 2007 to 2015 in the USA.<sup>3</sup> Similarly, in Australia, the numbers have tripled among  
95 patients of all ages from 2009 to 2018 in two emergency departments.<sup>4</sup> Suicide prevention is a  
96 top research priority globally, as reflected in the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals  
97 for 2030.<sup>5</sup>

98 The current COVID-19 pandemic has brought a significant impact on psychological  
99 health, further contributing to the increased need for suicide prevention services.<sup>6</sup> Furthermore,  
100 there has been poorer access to mental health services since the onset of pandemic.<sup>7</sup> One  
101 recommendation to meet this unprecedented, increased need for mental health care is to reform  
102 the system and re-distribute services and resources from tertiary care centres to community and  
103 primary care.<sup>8</sup> Technological integration between primary, community and tertiary mental health

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

is one adaptable response.<sup>9</sup> For example, innovative tools, such as telehealth tools, have been rapidly implemented in community mental health services internationally, allowing continuity of care.<sup>7</sup> As such, COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the implementation of digital solutions,<sup>10</sup> and this momentum can be leveraged to re-distribute mental health care via innovative means to provide appropriate suicide prevention care to patients at the right time.

Information and communication technology (ICT) is defined as “a set of technologies resulting from the convergence of information technology and advanced multimedia and telecommunications techniques, which have enabled the emergence of more efficient means of communication, by improving processing, storage, distribution and exchange some information.”<sup>11</sup> ICTs are also referred to as eHealth by the World Health Organization (WHO),<sup>12</sup> and examples include, but are not limited to, Internet and mobile technologies. There is a surplus of ICT-based interventions for mental health care, including suicide prevention.<sup>13,14</sup> For example, there are 38 mental health apps available from the Google Play Store (Android) and Apple App Store, and 11 of them are comprised of in-app crisis resources such as safety planning intervention (SPI).<sup>15</sup> Rassy and colleagues<sup>14</sup> have shown that ICTs for suicide prevention can provide an interactive, personalized, and accessible way to reach various populations to identify

1  
2  
3  
4 120 and provide care to the individuals at risk.<sup>14</sup> Although more additional higher quality,  
5  
6  
7 121 randomized controlled studies are required, evidence to date shows promising outcomes of the  
8  
9  
10 122 ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention, including high acceptability from the patients  
11  
12  
13 123 and some beneficial effects on suicidal ideation.<sup>16</sup> In clinical settings, on the other hand, it  
14  
15  
16  
17 124 remains unknown which ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention have been implemented  
18  
19  
20 125 and are being delivered by clinicians.

21  
22  
23  
24 126 As of now, the SPI<sup>17</sup> is one best practice for suicide prevention, producing a 45%  
25  
26  
27 127 reduction in suicidal behaviour compared to treatment as usual in the emergency department.<sup>18</sup>  
28  
29  
30  
31 128 More recently, systematic reviews have shown significant effects of SPI on reducing the risk of  
32  
33  
34 129 suicide-related behaviours.<sup>19,20</sup> SPI is a collaborative process between clinicians and a patient for  
35  
36  
37  
38 130 developing a plan regarding coping strategies, emergency contacts, and lethal means  
39  
40  
41 131 restriction.<sup>17</sup> As collaboration is a critical feature of SPI, clinicians play an important role in  
42  
43  
44 132 creating a therapeutic alliance with patients and building trust. As such, ICTs cannot replace  
45  
46  
47  
48 133 clinicians in situations where clinical interaction is essential, yet ICTs can be embedded in  
49  
50  
51 134 clinical settings to make effective interventions more widely accessible.<sup>21</sup> Furthermore,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

integrating ICT-based interventions into routine care, which allows clinicians to provide oversight to patients, can facilitate the adoption of these tools.<sup>22</sup>

To date, reviews have yet to comprehensively explore ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention delivered in clinical settings. Furthermore, reviews have yet to assess barriers and facilitators for implementing these ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention. Hence, the overall objective of this scoping review is to identify and characterize ICT-based interventions for all levels of suicide prevention in clinical settings. The secondary objectives of this review are as follow: 1) identify and characterize the barriers and facilitators to implementing these ICT-based interventions within the Capability, Opportunity, Motivation - Behaviour (COM-B)<sup>23</sup> and the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF)<sup>24</sup>; 2) identify reported measures and outcomes in these studies.

This review is part of a multi-phase project to develop and test tailored implementation strategies for mobile app-based suicide prevention in clinical settings. Implementation is a known determinant of effectiveness, meaning barriers to implementation can significantly reduce the effectiveness of interventions and lead to suboptimal outcomes.<sup>25</sup> Further, evidence shows that implementation strategies have often been mismatched to existing barriers in a given

1  
2  
3  
4 151 context.<sup>26,27</sup> For example, a review of 20 quality improvement studies found that many studies  
5  
6  
7 152 utilized clinician-oriented (individual level) strategies, such as education, to address  
8  
9  
10 153 organizational level barriers.<sup>26</sup> Theoretically speaking, education alone may be insufficient to  
11  
12  
13 154 address external influences of implementation.<sup>23</sup> Specifically for mental health apps, there was a  
14  
15  
16  
17 155 recent call for attention to complex contexts in which apps are being implemented in order to  
18  
19  
20 156 tailor facilitation.<sup>28</sup> As such, it is critical to prospectively assess the barriers and facilitators in the  
21  
22  
23  
24 157 organizational and local context and then strategically develop implementation strategies.<sup>29</sup> The  
25  
26  
27 158 findings from this review will be used as a knowledge base for the subsequent phase to identify  
28  
29  
30 159 strategies to overcome barriers and leverage facilitators to maximize implementation. The  
31  
32  
33  
34 160 findings can also inform future efforts to develop and test strategies for implementing other types  
35  
36  
37 161 of ICT-based interventions related to suicide prevention in clinical settings.

38  
39  
40  
41 162 A search of PROSPERO, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Joanna  
42  
43  
44 163 Briggs Institute (JBI) Evidence Synthesis and Open Science Framework was conducted in June-  
45  
46  
47  
48 164 July 2021, and no current or underway systematic reviews or scoping reviews on the topic were  
49  
50  
51 165 identified.

## 52 53 54 55 166 **Research questions**

56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

To achieve the research objectives stated above, this scoping review will address the following questions.

1. What ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention have been implemented in clinical settings?

1.1. What are the reported barriers and facilitators to implementing these ICT-based interventions?

1.2. What are the reported measures and outcomes?

**Methods**

This review will adhere to the JBI methodology for scoping review,<sup>30,31</sup> and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA-ScR) extension for scoping reviews<sup>32</sup> will be used to guide the reporting. Major steps of our scoping review are: (1) searching for relevant studies; (3) screening and selecting relevant studies; (4) extracting data; and (5) summarizing and presenting key findings.

**Inclusion/exclusion criteria**

1  
2  
3  
4 181 To identify relevant studies, key inclusion/exclusion criteria were constructed based on  
5  
6  
7 182 the population, concept and context (PCC) mnemonic recommended by JBI.  
8  
9

10  
11 183 *Participant*  
12  
13

14 184 In literature, a wide range of health care professionals who provide direct care in clinical  
15  
16  
17  
18 185 settings (e.g., physicians, nurses, nurse practitioners, physician assistants, social workers, and  
19  
20  
21 186 medical resident) have been commonly referred to as ‘clinicians’.<sup>33,34</sup> All types of clinicians who  
22  
23  
24  
25 187 are licenced and regulated practitioners will be included in this review. Furthermore, unregulated  
26  
27  
28 188 practitioners or clinical support personnel, such as peer support workers, will be included in this  
29  
30  
31 189 review. Therefore, ICT-based interventions must be implemented or delivered by these members  
32  
33  
34  
35 190 of the clinical team, and this review will exclude studies if a research assistant delivers an ICT-  
36  
37  
38 191 based intervention. There will be no exclusion criteria based on gender, health care discipline,  
39  
40  
41 192 and years of experience. Therefore, health care trainees, such as medical residents, will also be  
42  
43  
44  
45 193 considered for inclusion. Lastly, ICT-based interventions can target patient population of any age  
46  
47  
48 194 and any levels of suicide prevention.  
49

50  
51  
52 195 *Concept*  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

196 All literature that describes ICT-based interventions will be included in this review.

197 Following the definitions provided in the introduction, ICTs or eHealth include, but are not  
198 limited to, computerized resources, mobile apps, and text messaging. Additionally, the definition  
199 provided by the WHO will be adopted to identify interventions: “A health intervention is an act  
200 performed for, with or on behalf of a person or population whose purpose is to assess, improve,  
201 maintain, promote or modify health, functioning or health conditions.”<sup>35</sup> Therefore, general use  
202 of electronic health care records while caring for patients with suicidal ideation will be excluded  
203 if there are no ICT-based interventions being implemented or delivered to patients. Additionally,  
204 routine care (i.e., care as usual) provided via virtual platforms or telephones will be excluded  
205 unless an ICT-based intervention is being delivered. ICT-based interventions can be delivered in-  
206 person or other means of communication by clinicians. Crisis services (phone, chat, text) as are  
207 appropriate response for suicide prevention. However, this review will exclude crisis services  
208 since there has already been a systematic review investigating their effectiveness.<sup>36</sup> The current  
209 review will use the umbrella term, suicide-related thoughts and behaviours,<sup>37</sup> which refers to a  
210 spectrum of suicide-related ideation, communication, behaviours, and attempts with having  
211 casual to persistent suicidal thoughts with actual, undetermined or no suicidal intent. ICT-based

1  
2  
3  
4 212 interventions for suicide prevention regarding any sub-category of suicide-related thoughts and  
5  
6  
7 213 behaviours will be included. Lastly, ICT-based interventions related to all levels of suicide  
8  
9  
10 214 prevention following the WHO description (i.e., Universal, Selective, Indicated)<sup>38</sup> will be  
11  
12  
13  
14 215 included. See Table 1 for the list of suicide prevention interventions.  
15  
16

## 17 216 *Context*

18  
19  
20  
21 217 All types of clinical settings, such as in-patient, emergency, ambulatory, and primary care,  
22  
23  
24 218 will be considered for inclusion. For this review, a clinical setting is defined as any context  
25  
26  
27  
28 219 where clinician-patient interactions occur in real-time. To be included, ICT-based interventions  
29  
30  
31 220 need to be implemented and initiated in the clinical setting. Self-support tools that patients can  
32  
33  
34  
35 221 freely download from app stores will be excluded as these are being initiated in non-clinical  
36  
37  
38 222 settings. However, if app-based interventions or other forms of ICT-based interventions are  
39  
40  
41 223 prescribed by clinicians or clinical support team, they will be included in the review. Please see  
42  
43  
44  
45 224 Table 1 for the summary of eligibility.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

225 **Table 1. Eligibility criteria**

	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Population	<p>All members of clinical care team</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• licenced and regulated practitioners</li><li>• Unregulated practitioners or clinical support teams such as peer support workers</li></ul> <p>All ages, genders, locations, and years of experience</p>	<p>Not a member of clinical care team</p>
Topic	<p>Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs): “A set of technologies resulting from the convergence of information technology and advanced multimedia and telecommunications techniques, which have enabled the emergence of more efficient means of communication, by improving processing, storage, distribution and exchange some information.” <sup>11</sup></p> <p>Suicide-related thoughts and behaviours<sup>37</sup>: represent a spectrum of suicide-related ideation, communication, behaviours and attempts with having casual to persistent suicidal thoughts with actual, undetermined or no suicidal intent. This review will consider ICT-based interventions for suicide prevention regarding any sub-category of suicide-related thoughts and behaviours.</p>	<p>No ICTs</p> <p>Crisis services (phone, chat, text)</p> <p>Care as usual</p>

	<p>Suicide Prevention Intervention Category (adapted from Wilson et al.<sup>39</sup> and Zalsman et al.<sup>40</sup>)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Screening and assessment</li> <li>• Safety plan (e.g., identifying warning signs coping strategies, emergency contacts)</li> <li>• Lethal means restriction and counselling</li> <li>• Discharge or post-discharge follow up</li> <li>• Behaviour or cognitive therapies</li> </ul>	
Setting	Clinical/hospital setting or context (i.e., clinician-patient interaction in real time)	Not a clinical setting or context
Source	Primary research papers including in press papers. If literature reviews, commentaries, and opinion papers include relevant primary research studies, this review will include them in the screening phase then hand-search their references to identify the original papers that meet the inclusion criteria. Conference papers, reports from relevant health services organizations.	Books, theses, commentaries, opinion papers, literature reviews, preprints, abstracts
Type of study	All designs including study protocols	N/A
Language	English	non-English language

## 226 Search strategy

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

In collaboration with a health sciences librarian, a comprehensive search strategy will be developed to locate relevant scholarly literature using multiple bibliographic databases. This scoping review will follow a three-step search strategy outlined in JBI methodology.<sup>31</sup> First, we will develop and refine a draft strategy in Medline, followed by an analysis of the text words contained in titles and abstracts of relevant articles and the subject headings applied to them. After revising, testing, and finalizing this search strategy, TR will translate the strategy using database-specific subject headings, search fields, and operators, and run the search in each included database. The search strategy will be peer-reviewed by a second research librarian using the Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategy (PRESS) guidelines.<sup>41</sup> The proposed search strategy for Medline (Ovid), peer-reviewed by a second research librarian, is presented in Supplementary File I. Thirdly, the reference list of the sources that have been included in the reviews will be hand-searched for additional articles.

The targeted Google search method outlined by Godin et al., 2015.<sup>42</sup> will be utilized to identify a list of international and national health services' websites to locate reports and other eligible sources. The first step involves conducting ten unique Google searches with different combinations of keywords and reviewing the first 100 items of each search to identify relevant

243 websites. The second step involves hand-searching identified relevant websites to find reports or  
244 other sources that meet the inclusion criteria. This targeted Google search will complement the  
245 database searches to identify more diverse sources of evidence.

## 246 **Types of sources**

247 The following databases will be searched for relevant studies: Medline, APA PsycInfo,  
248 Embase, the Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Web of  
249 Science, and Library, Information Science & Technology Abstracts (LISTA). All types of  
250 research designs will be included (e.g., quantitative, observational, qualitative, and mixed  
251 methods). Although study protocols do not have empirical data, we will include them and  
252 capture relevant details and reflect the upcoming trends. By doing so, we will be able to provide  
253 a comprehensive breadth of information that is currently available. Reference lists of relevant  
254 literature reviews, commentaries, text and opinion papers will be reviewed to identify additional  
255 primary research papers that meet the eligibility criteria. Grey literature including conference  
256 papers, reports and publications by relevant national and international websites of health  
257 organizations and agencies will be included. Sources written in English will be included, and no  
258 date parameters will be applied.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Study selection**

All identified citations will be collated and uploaded into Covidence<sup>43</sup> and duplicates will be automatically removed. Two reviewers will independently screen titles and abstracts against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Next, relevant full-text articles will be retrieved into Covidence,<sup>43</sup> and two independent reviewers will assess the full-text of relevant studies in detail against the eligibility criteria. Reasons for exclusion will be recorded at the full-text screening phase and will be reported in the full review. Any discrepancies between the reviewers at each stage of the study selection process will be resolved either through discussion or by a third reviewer. Scoping reviews do not require methodological assessment,<sup>31</sup> so critical appraisal will not be conducted. The results of the study selection will be reported and presented in a PRISMA 2020 flow diagram.<sup>44</sup>

**Data extraction**

Two reviewers will independently extract and chart data. The data extraction tool will be pilot tested with five studies to ensure consistency and assess the need for modification of the tool. Any modification of the tool will be reported in the full report. See Supplementary File II for the draft version of data extraction tool. Data will be extracted by two independent reviewers

275 to capture the following information: General characteristics of the paper (title, year, author,  
276 country of origin, and design), description and characteristics of clinical settings, geography,  
277 characteristics of participating clinicians, description and characteristics of implementation  
278 strategies and ICT-based intervention(s), target patient population, clinician-reported barriers and  
279 facilitators to implementing ICT-based interventions, reported measures, outcomes, and direction  
280 of effectiveness. Any discrepancies in data extraction will be resolved either through discussion  
281 between the two reviewers or by a third reviewer. Lastly, authors will be contacted to request for  
282 missing or additional information when appropriate.

### 283 **Data analysis**

284 Following data extraction, this review will characterize extracted data using frameworks,  
285 typology, and taxonomies to address the proposed review questions. Data coding strategy will be  
286 pilot tested and assessed for further modification. After finalizing the coding strategy, the  
287 primary reviewer will code the rest of the data, and then the second reviewer will verify the  
288 coded data. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved either through  
289 discussion or by a third reviewer. Data coding is expected to be an iterative process; therefore,  
290 any necessary changes to the coding strategy will be made and reported in the full review.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

***Characteristics of ICT-based interventions (Question1)***

Identified ICT-based interventions will be categorized using typology created by the Mental Health Commission of Canada.<sup>45</sup> Then interventions will be categorized into the three levels of suicide prevention following the descriptions provided by the WHO <sup>38</sup>:1) Universal (entire population); 2) Selective (specific subpopulations, targeting vulnerable populations); 3) Indicated (high-risk individuals, displaying signs of suicide potential).

***Barriers and facilitators to implementing ICT-based interventions in clinical settings (Question 1.1)***

This review will perform directed content analysis<sup>46</sup> to describe clinician-reported barriers and facilitators to implementing ICT-based interventions within the COM-B and TDF. This review is part of a multi-phase project to develop and test tailored implementation strategies for a mobile app-based suicide prevention in a clinical setting. Lynch and colleagues <sup>47</sup> advice on selecting theory for implementation projects, and suggest the use of COM-B and TDF when researchers are investigating individual experiences as a preparation for implementation. As such, the authors made evidence-informed decision to utilize COM-B and TDF. Both COM-B<sup>23</sup> and TDF<sup>24</sup> are comprehensive and evidence-based behaviour frameworks that capture internal

and external influences on an individual's behaviour change. Furthermore, COM-B and TDF have been previously used across healthcare disciplines to assess implementation problems and to provide theory-informed suggestions for implementation.<sup>48–50</sup> In the current scoping review, narrative descriptions of barriers and facilitators will be coded onto the most appropriate domains of COM-B and TDF. Coded barriers and facilitators will serve as a knowledge base in future research, informing the strategic selection of theory-based strategies for implementation that can overcome barriers and leverage facilitators.

#### ***Reported measures and outcomes (Question 1.2)***

This review will categorize reported measures and outcomes using the Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) taxonomy<sup>51</sup> and outcomes of evidence-based practice measures.<sup>52</sup> Outcomes will be categorized into three levels: 1) Patient, 2) Health care provider, 3) Health system. Patient level outcomes will be further distinguished to patient-reported outcomes (e.g., symptoms),<sup>53</sup> patient-reported experience (e.g., satisfaction),<sup>54</sup> and patient health outcomes (e.g., mortality).<sup>51</sup> Examples of health care provider outcomes include knowledge, attitude (e.g., satisfaction and acceptability), and behaviour (e.g., practice changes noted in medical charts).<sup>52</sup>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Examples of system level outcomes include resource utilization (e.g., length of stay and number of admission) and economic outcomes (e.g., cost effectiveness).<sup>51</sup>

**Data presentation**

This review will present the charted data in tables that align with the review objectives.

Descriptive numerical summaries of the quantitative data (e.g., frequency counts for barriers and facilitators) will be provided where possible. Lastly, narrative descriptions will accompany these presentations and describe how the findings address the review questions.

**Public and patient involvement**

The authors plan to discuss the review findings and request feedback from the Suicide Prevention Working Group and the clinical patient and family advisory committees at the Centre for Additions and Mental Health (CAMH) for the next step of this work. During engagement meetings, these groups can identify research priorities to inform the next steps. Also, these groups will be invited to contribute to the dissemination plan.

**Ethics and dissemination**

1  
2  
3  
4 336 This scoping review is aimed at synthesizing information from the existing literature;  
5  
6  
7 337 therefore, ethics approval is not required. This scoping review is part of a multi-phase project to  
8  
9  
10 338 develop and test tailored implementation strategies for a mobile app-based suicide prevention  
11  
12  
13 339 intervention in a clinical setting. The findings will directly inform the subsequent phase to  
14  
15  
16  
17 340 identify strategies to overcome barriers and leverage facilitators to maximize implementation.  
18  
19  
20 341 Furthermore, authors anticipate that the findings will inform future research directions for other  
21  
22  
23 342 ICT implementation efforts in clinical settings. As such, authors will share the results in a peer-  
24  
25  
26  
27 343 reviewed, open access publication, and conference presentations. Furthermore, the findings will  
28  
29  
30 344 be shared with relevant health organizations (e.g., CAMH) through lay language summaries and  
31  
32  
33  
34 345 informal presentations.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

References

1. WHO. Suicide [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Mar 14]. Available from: World Health Organization. (2016). Suicide data. World Health Organization.  
[http://www.who.int/mental\\_health/prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/](http://www.who.int/mental_health/prevention/suicide/suicideprevent/en/)

2. Public Health Agency of Canada. Suicide in Canada: Key Statistics (infographic) [Internet]. Government of Canada. 2019 [cited 2019 Sep 28]. Available from:  
<https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/publications/healthy-living/suicide-canada-key-statistics-infographic.html>

3. Burstein B, Agostino H, Greenfield B. Suicidal Attempts and Ideation Among Children and Adolescents in US Emergency Departments, 2007-2015. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2019 Jun 1;173(6):598–600.

4. Stapelberg NJC, Svetcic J, Hughes I, Turner K. Suicidal Presentations to Emergency Departments in a Large Australian Public Health Service over 10 Years. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(16).

5. WHO. LIVE LIFE: Preventing suicide [Internet]. 2018 Sep [cited 2021 May 18] p. 4. Available from:  
[https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/mental-health/suicide/live-life-brochure.pdf?sfvrsn=6ea28a12\\_2&download=true](https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/mental-health/suicide/live-life-brochure.pdf?sfvrsn=6ea28a12_2&download=true)

6. Sher L. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on suicide rates. *QJM Int J Med*. 2020 Oct 1;113(10):707–12.

7. Sheridan Rains L, Johnson S, Barnett P, Steare T, Needle JJ, Carr S, et al. Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2021 Jan 1;56(1):13–24.

8. Xiang Y-T, Zhao Y-J, Liu Z-H, Li X-H, Zhao N, Cheung T, et al. The COVID-19 outbreak and psychiatric hospitals in China: managing challenges through mental health service reform. *Int J Biol Sci*. 2020 Mar 15;16(10):1741–4.

9. Rosenberg S, Mendoza J, Tabatabaei-Jafari H, Salvador-Carulla L. International experiences of the active period of COVID-19 - Mental health care. *COVID-19 Pandemic Glob Health Policy Technol Responses Mak*. 2020 Dec 1;9(4):503–9.

10. Golinelli D, Boetto E, Carullo G, Nuzzolese AG, Landini MP, Fantini MP. Adoption of Digital Technologies in Health Care During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Systematic Review of Early Scientific Literature. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020 Nov 6;22(11):e22280.
11. The large terminological dictionary (GDT). technologies de l'information et de la communication [Internet]. Office québécois de la langue française. [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: [http://gdt.oqlf.gouv.qc.ca/ficheOqlf.aspx?Id\\_Fiche=8349341](http://gdt.oqlf.gouv.qc.ca/ficheOqlf.aspx?Id_Fiche=8349341)
12. WHO | Frequently asked questions on Global Task Force on digital health for TB and its work [Internet]. WHO. World Health Organization; [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/tb/areas-of-work/digital-health/faq/en/>
13. Larsen ME, Nicholas J, Christensen H. A Systematic Assessment of Smartphone Tools for Suicide Prevention. *PLOS ONE*. 2016 Apr 13;11(4):e0152285.
14. Rassy J, Bardon C, Dargis L, Côté L-P, Corthésy-Blondin L, Mörch C-M, et al. Information and communications technology use in suicide prevention: a scoping review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;ePub(ePub):ePub-ePub.
15. Parrish EM, Filip TF, Torous J, Nebeker C, Moore RC, Depp CA. Are Mental Health Apps Adequately Equipped to Handle Users in Crisis? *Crisis* [Internet]. 2021 May; Available from: <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/34042465>
16. Arshad U, Farhat-Ul-Ain, Gauntlett J, Husain N, Chaudhry N, Taylor PJ. A Systematic Review of the Evidence Supporting Mobile- and Internet-Based Psychological Interventions For Self-Harm. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2019/08/26 ed. 2020 Feb;50(1):151–79.
17. Stanley B, Brown GK. Safety Planning Intervention: A Brief Intervention to Mitigate Suicide Risk. *Cogn Behav Pract*. 2012;19(2):256–64.
18. Stanley B, Brown GK, Brenner LA, Galfalvy HC, Currier GW, Knox KL, et al. Comparison of the Safety Planning Intervention With Follow-up vs Usual Care of Suicidal Patients Treated in the Emergency Department. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018 Sep 1;75(9):894–900.
19. Nuij C, van Ballegooijen W, de Beurs D, Juniar D, Erlangsen A, Portzky G, et al. Safety planning-type interventions for suicide prevention: meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2021;1–8.

20. Ferguson M, Rhodes K, Loughhead M, McIntyre H, Procter N. The Effectiveness of the Safety Planning Intervention for Adults Experiencing Suicide-Related Distress: A Systematic Review. *Arch Suicide Res.* 2021;1–24.

21. Bennett CB, Ruggero CJ, Sever AC, Yanouri L. eHealth to redress psychotherapy access barriers both new and old: A review of reviews and meta-analyses. *J Psychother Integr.* 2020;30(2):188–207.

22. Schreiweis B, Pobiruchin M, Strotbaum V, Suleder J, Wiesner M, Bergh B. Barriers and Facilitators to the Implementation of eHealth Services: Systematic Literature Analysis. *J Med Internet Res.* 2019 Nov 22;21(11):e14197.

23. Michie, van Stralen, West. The behaviour change wheel: A new method for characterising and designing behaviour change interventions. *Implement Sci.* 2011 Apr 23;6(1):42.

24. Cane J, O’Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci.* 2012 Apr 24;7(1):37.

25. Proctor E, Silmere H, Raghavan R, Hovmand P, Aarons G, Bunger A, et al. Outcomes for Implementation Research: Conceptual Distinctions, Measurement Challenges, and Research Agenda. *Adm Policy Ment Health Ment Health Serv Res.* 2011 Mar 1;38(2):65–76.

26. Bosch M, Van Der Weijden T, Wensing M, Grol R. Tailoring quality improvement interventions to identified barriers: a multiple case analysis. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2007 Apr 1;13(2):161–8.

27. Wensing M, Grol R. Knowledge translation in health: how implementation science could contribute more. *BMC Med.* 2019 May 7;17(1):88.

28. Connolly SL, Hogan TP, Shimada SL, Miller CJ. Leveraging Implementation Science to Understand Factors Influencing Sustained Use of Mental Health Apps: a Narrative Review. *J Technol Behav Sci.* 2020 Sep 7;1–13.

29. Lattie EG, Nicholas J, Knapp AA, Skerl JJ, Kaiser SM, Mohr DC. Opportunities for and Tensions Surrounding the Use of Technology-Enabled Mental Health Services in Community Mental Health Care. *Adm Policy Ment Health.* 2019/09/20 ed. 2020 Jan;47(1):138–49.

30. Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Baldini Soares C, Khalil H, Parker D. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, editors. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual [Internet]. The Joanna Briggs Institute; 2017. Available from: <https://reviewersmanual.joannabriggs.org/>
31. Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JB I Evid Synth*. 2020;18(10).
32. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018 Oct 2;169(7):467–73.
33. Im DD, Chary A, Condella AL, Vongsachang H, Carlson LC, Vogel L, et al. Emergency Department Clinicians' Attitudes Toward Opioid Use Disorder and Emergency Department-initiated Buprenorphine Treatment: A Mixed-Methods Study. *West J Emerg Med*. 2020 Feb 21;21(2):261–71.
34. Jelinek GA, Marck CH, Weiland TJ, Neate SL, Hickey BB. Organ and tissue donation-related attitudes, education and practices of emergency department clinicians in Australia. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2012 Jun 1;24(3):244–50.
35. WHO. International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 25]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/standards/classifications/international-classification-of-health-interventions>
36. Hoffberg AS, Stearns-Yoder KA, Brenner LA. The Effectiveness of Crisis Line Services: A Systematic Review. *Front Public Health*. 2020;7:399.
37. Silverman MM, Berman AL, Sanddal ND, O'Carroll PW, Joiner Jr. TE. Rebuilding the Tower of Babel: A Revised Nomenclature for the Study of Suicide and Suicidal Behaviors Part 2: Suicide-Related Ideations, Communications, and Behaviors. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*. 2007 Jun 1;37(3):264–77.
38. WHO. Preventing suicide: A global imperative [Internet]. World Health Organization. 2014 [cited 2019 Nov 23]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241564779>
39. Wilson MP, Moutier C, Wolf L, Nordstrom K, Schulz T, Betz ME. Emergency department recommendations for suicide prevention in adults: The ICARE mnemonic and a systematic review of the literature. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2019;

40. Zalsman G, Hawton K, Wasserman D, van Heeringen K, Arensman E, Sarchiapone M, et al. Suicide prevention strategies revisited: 10-year systematic review. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2016 Jul 1;3(7):646–59.

41. McGowan J, Sampson M, Salzwedel DM, Cogo E, Foerster V, Lefebvre C. PRESS Peer Review of Electronic Search Strategies: 2015 Guideline Statement. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2016 Jul 1;75:40–6.

42. Godin K, Stapleton J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hanning RM, Leatherdale ST. Applying systematic review search methods to the grey literature: a case study examining guidelines for school-based breakfast programs in Canada. *Syst Rev*. 2015 Oct 22;4(1):138.

43. Covidence systematic review software [Internet]. Melbourne, Australia.; 2019. Available from: [www.covidence.org](http://www.covidence.org)

44. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021 Mar 29;372:n71.

45. Mental Health Commission of Canada. E-Mental Health in Canada: Transforming the Mental Health System Using Technology [Internet]. Ottawa, ON; 2014. Available from: [https://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/MHCC\\_E-Mental\\_Health-Briefing\\_Document\\_ENG\\_0.pdf](https://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/sites/default/files/MHCC_E-Mental_Health-Briefing_Document_ENG_0.pdf)

46. Hsieh H-F, Shannon SE. Three Approaches to Qualitative Content Analysis. *Qual Health Res*. 2005 Nov 1;15(9):1277–88.

47. Lynch EA, Mudge A, Knowles S, Kitson AL, Hunter SC, Harvey G. “There is nothing so practical as a good theory”: a pragmatic guide for selecting theoretical approaches for implementation projects. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2018 Nov 14;18(1):857.

48. Jabbour M, Newton AS, Johnson D, Curran JA. Defining barriers and enablers for clinical pathway implementation in complex clinical settings. *Implement Sci*. 2018 Nov 12;13(1):139.

49. Surr CA, Parveen S, Smith SJ, Drury M, Sass C, Burden S, et al. The barriers and facilitators to implementing dementia education and training in health and social care services: a mixed-methods study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2020 Jun 5;20(1):512.

- 1  
2  
3  
4 50. Glowacki K, Weatherson K, Faulkner G. Barriers and facilitators to health care providers' promotion of physical activity for individuals with mental illness: A scoping review. *Ment Health Phys Act*. 2019 Mar 1;16:152–68.
- 8  
9 51. Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC). What outcomes should be reported in Cochrane Effective Practice and Organisation of Care (EPOC) reviews? EPOC resources for review authors [Internet]. EPOC Resources for review authors; 2017. Available from: [https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/what\\_outcomes\\_should\\_be\\_reported\\_in\\_epoc\\_reviews.pdf](https://epoc.cochrane.org/sites/epoc.cochrane.org/files/public/uploads/Resources-for-authors2017/what_outcomes_should_be_reported_in_epoc_reviews.pdf)
- 17  
18 52. Graham I, Bick D, Tetroe J, Straus SE, Harrison M. Measuring outcomes of evidence-based practice: Distinguishing between knowledge use and its impact. In: Bick D, Graham I, editors. *Evaluating the Impact of Implementing Evidence Based Practice*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2010. p. 18–37.
- 24  
25 53. FDA. Guidance for Industry: Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims. [Internet]. Rockville, MD; 2009 [cited 2020 Dec 7]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/patient-reported-outcome-measures-use-medical-product-development-support-labeling-claims>
- 32  
33 54. Kingsley C, Patel S. Patient-reported outcome measures and patient-reported experience measures. *BJA Educ*. 2017 Apr 1;17(4):137–44.
- 36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Supplementary File I. Search strategy for Medline (Ovid)

Ovid MEDLINE: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE® Daily and Ovid MEDLINE® <1946-Present>

Date searched: August 5, 2021

Line	Query	Records Retrieved
1	information technology/	526
2	software/	115757
3	mobile applications/	8314
4	programming languages/	4242
5	software design/	6049
6	user-centered design/	56
7	user-computer interface/	38389
8	web browser/ or word processing/	1854
9	exp electronics/	39315
10	digital technology/	231
11	exp computer simulation/	259020
12	virtual reality/	3179
13	video games/	6170
14	electronic mail/	2801
15	cell phone/ or smartphone/	15430
16	text messaging/	3606
17	wireless technology/	3917
18	algorithms/	271596
19	exp artificial intelligence/	119797
20	exp expert systems/	3435
21	exp fuzzy logic/	4761
22	exp knowledge bases/	10539
23	exp machine learning/	30945
24	exp natural language processing/	4870
25	exp neural networks, computer/	36200
26	exp robotics/	31801
27	social media/	10856
28	exp internet/	86620
29	((mobile or online or web or internet) adj3 (application* or app or apps or interface* or platform* or program* or tech* or intervention*)).tw,kf.	43178

30	(smartphone* or smart phone* or tablet or cellphone or cell phone or mobile phone).tw,kf.	52887
31	Text messag*.tw,kf.	4950
32	(e-health or ehealth or m-health or mhealth).tw,kf.	13877
33	(social media* or social network* site* or social network* website* or facebook* or instagram* or snapchat* or LinkedIn* or Weibo* or Whatsapp* or Whats app* or Telegram* or WeChat* or We Chat* or tiktok*).tw,kf.	21644
34	((twitter* or tweet*) not tweetable abstract).tw,kf.	4846
35	(forum* adj3 (internet or web* or chat*)).tw,kf.	679
36	PatientsLikeMe.tw,kf.	68
37	wiki*.tw,kf.	1574
38	algorithm*.tw,kf.	285739
39	(artificial intelligence or "AI" or "A.I.").tw,kf.	42342
40	computational intelligence.tw,kf.	341
41	(machine learning or deep learning or natural language processing).tw,kf.	65991
42	(information adj3 technolog*).tw,kf.	21183
43	(communication* adj3 technolog*).tw,kf.	6753
44	or/1-43	1075973
45	suicide/ or suicidal ideation/ or suicide, attempted/ or suicide, completed/	61461
46	(suicid* adj3 prevent*).tw,kf.	8262
47	(suicid* adj3 (attempt* or commit* or complet* or die* or dead or ideation* or thought* or plan* or consider* or contemplat* or behavior* or method*)).tw,kf.	41228
48	suicidal*.tw,kf.	35112
49	self-injurious behavior/ or self mutilation/	11622
50	(selfharm* or self-harm or selfinjur* or self-injur* or selfinflict* or self-inflict* or self-mutilat* or selfmutilat* or selfpoison* or self-poison* or automutilat*).tw,kf.	16663
51	((fatal* or lethal* or intentional* or deliberate*) adj2 (dose or doses or dosing or overdos* or self-administ* or selfadminist*)).tw,kf.	14134
52	or/45-51	107361
53	practice patterns, dentists'/ or practice patterns, nurses'/ or practice patterns, physicians'/	68628

54	"delivery of health care"/	98968
55	"delivery of health care, integrated"/	13510
56	patient care management/	4586
57	health services accessibility/	79884
58	managed care programs/	24335
59	telemedicine/	29438
60	exp Health Facilities/	824890
61	(clinical* adj3 (practice* or practise* or application* or care)).tw,kf.	361453
62	((health or healthcare or medical or psychiatr* or mental* or rehab* or treatment* or inpatient* or outpatient* or walk-in or drop-in) adj3 (hospital* or institut* or setting* or environment* or clinic* or centre* or center* or facility or facilities or ward* or unit* or office* or program* or service* or intervention*)).ti,ab,kf,hw.	1507381
63	(clinical adj3 (hospital* or institut* or setting* or environment* or centre* or center* or facility or facilities or ward* or unit* or office* or program* or service* or intervention*)).ti,ab,kf,hw.	180802
64	(care team* or healthcare team* or health team*).tw,kf.	20197
65	((health* or healthcare or medical* or mental* or psychiatr* or inpatient* or outpatient*) adj3 (session* or appointment*)).tw,kf.	6115
66	((nurse* or nursing) adj3 (hospital* or institut* or setting* or environment* or clinic* or centre* or center* or facility or facilities or ward* or unit* or office* or program* or service* or intervention* or care)).ti,ab,kf,hw.	219074
67	medical home*.tw,kf.	3555
68	hospital*.tw,kf.	1412419
69	(emergency adj3 (department* or ward* or unit* or room* or service* or medicine or center* or centre* or clinic or clinics or hospital* or care or visit* or patient*)).tw,kf.	183200
70	(casualty adj1 (department* or ward* or unit* or room* or service* or medicine or center* or centre* or clinic or clinics or hospital* or visit* or patient*)).tw,kf.	969
71	"a&e".tw,kf.	25944
72	("ER" or "E.R.").ti.	11351

73	(telemental* or tele mental* or teletherap* or telepsych* or telemedic* or telehealth* or teleconferenc* or tele-psychotherap* or tele-psychiatr* or tele-medic* or tele-health* or tele-conferenc*).tw,kf.	27435
74	((health* or healthcare or medical* or mental* or psychiatr*) adj3 (profession* or work* or practitioner* or provider* or clinician* or servic*)).tw,kf.	520573
75	(physician* or doctor* or primary care or general practitioner* or nurse practitioner*).tw,kf.	657819
76	(psychiatrist* or psychologist*).tw,kf.	40856
77	((health* or medic* or clinic*) adj3 (aide* or assistant* or technician* or navigator*)).tw,kf.	8671
78	(peer* adj3 (worker* or coach* or navigator*)).tw,kf.	1025
79	(digital adj3 (coach* or navigator*)).tw,kf.	64
80	((regulated or unregulated) adj3 (provider* or professional* or worker*)).tw,kf.	217
81	(treatment* or intervention*).ti,hw.	2333083
82	(allerg* or immunolog* or anesthesiolog* or dermatolog* or radiolog* or emergency medicine or family medicine or internal medicine or internist* or neurology* or obstetric* or gynecolog* or ophthalmolog* or pathology or pathologist* or pediatric* or paediatric* or oncolog* or surgeon* or surgical* or surgery or urolog*).tw,kf.	3759156
83	(social work* or occupational therap* or allied health* or pharmacy or pharmacist* or physiotherap* or dentist* or dental* or audiolog* or speech patholog* or language patholog* or chiropod* or podiatr* or chiropract* or dentur* or dietician* or dietetic* or homeopath* or naturopath* or kinesiolg* or massage therap* or midwif* or midwiv* or optician* or optometr* or psychotherap* or psycho-therap* or respiratory therap* or chinese medicine or acupunctur* or (laborator* adj3 (technolog* or technician*)) or ((radiation or radiolog*) adj3 (technolog* or technician*))).tw,kf.	624264
84	or/53-83	8628673
85	44 and 52 and 84	1323

Supplementary File II. Draft of data extraction tool

General characteristics of the paper	
Title	
Year	
Author	
Country of origin	
Design	
Clinical setting	
Description of clinical setting	
Type	
<input type="checkbox"/> In-patient	
<input type="checkbox"/> Out-patient (clinics)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not reported	
Geography	
<input type="checkbox"/> Urban	
<input type="checkbox"/> Suburban	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rural	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mixture	
<input type="checkbox"/> Not reported	
Clinicians	
Characteristics (sample demographic information including gender, age, years of clinical experience)	
Discipline	
Implementation strategy(s) characteristics	
Implementation strategy description	

ICT intervention characteristics
ICT intervention description
ICT type
Target patient population of ICT intervention
Implementation
Reported barriers
Reported facilitators
Measures and outcomes
Measures
Outcomes
Direction of effectiveness
<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Neutral